	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/043,486	MOTIKA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	John P. Trimmings	2133
All Participants:	Status of Application:	<u> </u>
(1) John P. Trimmings.	(3)	: : :
(2) <u>Lynn L. Augspurger</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 7 June 2005	Time: <u>1:40 PM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		; · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		:
Claims discussed:		; ;
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Corrections were agreed to as follows:

Claim 11: Change Line 1 ("A computer program ...") to "The computer program ...".

Claim 14: Change line 5 from "a memory unit having a stuck-at fault" to recite, "the memory unit having the stuck-at fault".